

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/148,973	09/04/98	GREENAMYRE		J,	PC10023A
,,, ,, ,,,,		146447174447	\neg	EXAMINER	
023913 PFIZER INC		HM12/1116		HSU,G	
235 E 42ND	STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK N'	/ 10017			1627	14
				DATE MAILED:	11/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/148,973

Grace Hsu, Ph.D.

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Greenamyre et al.

1627

ΤH	IF PF	RIOD	DD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]						
••	a) (X		expires4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
	b) [
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.								
	Appe perio	ilant's d for r	nt's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	(or within any					
Ap bu	plica t is N	nt's re OT de	response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Oct 20, 2000</u> has been considere deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	ed with the following effect,					
The proposed amendment(s):									
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.								
	🖄 will not be entered because:								
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).								
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).								
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.								
	X	they	ney present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejecte	ed claims.					
NOTE: <u>The newly presented generic claim 9 raises new issues with regard to the cause or exacerbation of dyskinesi by dopamine therapy, which requires new search. Rejections are maintained for reasons of record.</u>									
	□ A	Applica	icant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):						
			roposed or amended claims would be e, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	e allowable if submitted in a					
X	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments set forth in the October 20. 2000 Amendment are same as those set forth in Paper No. 8.								
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
X	For p	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
	Clair	Claims allowed: none							
	Clair	Claims objected to: 4 and 8							
	Clair	ns reje	rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-7</u>						
	The	propos	posed drawing correction filed on	proved by the Examiner.					
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).								
	Othe	r	F. C	lickat					
DR. JYOTHSNA VENKAT PH.D									
			SUPERVISORY PATE	NT EXAMINEN					
			TECHNOLOGY CE	MIEN IONA					